

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF ERIE**

MICHAEL TATU,

Index No.

Plaintiff,

v.

FATHER NORBERT F. ORSOLITS; THE DIOCESE OF BUFFALO, N.Y.; ST. JOHN THE EVANGELIST ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF BUFFALO, N.Y., a/k/a, ST. JOHN THE EVANGELIST ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK; and ST. TERESA'S ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH SOCIETY OF BUFFALO, N.Y.,

**SUMMONS**

Date Index No. Purchased: Sept. 20, 2019

Defendants.

To the above named Defendant(s)



You are hereby summoned to answer the complaint in this action and to serve a copy of your answer, or, if the complaint is not served with this summons, to serve a notice of appearance, on the Plaintiff's attorney within 20 days after the service of this summons, exclusive of the day of service (or within 30 days after the service is complete if this summons is not personally delivered to you within the State of New York); and in case of your failure to appear or answer, judgment will be taken against you by default for the relief demanded in the complaint.

The basis of venue is one or more Defendant resides in Erie County, which is located in Erie County, New York.

Dated: New York, New York  
September 20, 2019

SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLC

---

Paul J. Hanly, Jr.  
*Attorneys for Plaintiff*  
112 Madison Avenue, 7<sup>th</sup> Floor  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 784-6401 Telephone  
(212) 213-5949 Facsimile  
phanly@simmonsfirm.com

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF ERIE

MICHAEL TATU,

Index No.

Plaintiff,

v.

FATHER NORBERT F. ORSOLITS; THE DIOCESE OF BUFFALO, N.Y.; ST. JOHN THE EVANGELIST ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF BUFFALO, N.Y., a/k/a, ST. JOHN THE EVANGELIST ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK; and ST. TERESA'S ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH SOCIETY OF BUFFALO, N.Y.,

**RIDER TO SUMMONS**

Defendants.

Defendant/Counsel	Service Address
FATHER NORBERT F. ORSOLITS	11540 Bolton Road, Ashford, Cattaraugus County, New York
THE DIOCESE OF BUFFALO, N.Y.	795 Main Street, Buffalo, Erie County, New York
ST. JOHN THE EVANGELIST ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF BUFFALO, N.Y., a/k/a, ST. JOHN THE EVANGELIST ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK	1974 Seneca Street, Buffalo, Erie County, New York
ST. TERESA'S ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH SOCIETY OF BUFFALO, N.Y.	1974 Seneca Street, Buffalo, Erie County, New York

**SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK  
COUNTY OF ERIE**

MICHAEL TATU,

Plaintiff,

v.

FATHER NORBERT F. ORSOLITS; THE DIOCESE OF BUFFALO, N.Y.; ST. JOHN THE EVANGELIST ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF BUFFALO, N.Y., a/k/a, ST. JOHN THE EVANGELIST ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH OF BUFFALO, NEW YORK; and ST. TERESA'S ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH SOCIETY OF BUFFALO, N.Y.,

Defendants.

Index No.

**COMPLAINT**

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

Plaintiff Michael Tatu, by his attorneys Simmons Hanly Conroy LLC and the Law Offices of Mitchell Garabedian, brings this action against Father Norbert F. Orsolits; The Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y.; St. John the Evangelist Roman Catholic Church of Buffalo, N.Y., also known as St. John the Evangelist Roman Catholic Church of Buffalo, New York; and St. Teresa's Roman Catholic Church Society of Buffalo, N.Y., and alleges, on personal knowledge as to himself and on information and belief as to all other matters, as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants pursuant to CPLR 301 and 302, in that the Defendants reside in New York.

2. This Court has jurisdiction over this action because the amount of damages Plaintiff seeks exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction.

3. Venue for this action is proper in the County of Erie pursuant to CPLR 503 in that one or more of the Defendants reside in this County and a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims asserted herein occurred in this County.

## PARTIES

4. Plaintiff Michael Tatu ("Plaintiff") is an individual residing in Laguna Niguel, California.

5. Defendant Father Norbert F. Orsolits ("Father Orsolits") is an individual with a residential address at 11540 Bolton Road, Ashford, Cattaraugus County, New York. At all relevant times, Defendant Father Orsolits served as a Roman Catholic priest of Defendant The Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y.

6. Defendant The Diocese of Buffalo, N.Y. ("Diocese of Buffalo") is a religious corporation organized pursuant to the New York Religious Corporations Law, with its principal office at 795 Main Street, Buffalo, Erie County, New York. The Diocese of Buffalo is a Roman Catholic diocese. At all relevant times, the Diocese of Buffalo created, oversaw, supervised, managed, controlled, directed and operated parishes or churches of the Diocese of Buffalo, including during all relevant times, St. John the Evangelist Roman Catholic Church of Buffalo, N.Y., also known as St. John the Evangelist Roman Catholic Church of Buffalo, New York; and St. Teresa's Roman Catholic Church Society of Buffalo, N.Y.

7. Defendant St. John the Evangelist Roman Catholic Church of Buffalo, N.Y., also known as St. John the Evangelist Roman Catholic Church of Buffalo, New York ("St. John") was a Roman Catholic parish within and under the authority of the Diocese of Buffalo and was a religious corporation organized pursuant to the Religious Corporations Law with its principal office at 1974 Seneca Street, Buffalo, Erie County, New York. At all relevant times, the Diocese of Buffalo created, oversaw, supervised, managed, controlled, directed and operated St. John.

8. Defendant St. Teresa's Roman Catholic Church Society of Buffalo, N.Y. ("St. Teresa's") is a Roman Catholic parish within and under the authority of the Diocese of Buffalo and is a religious corporation organized pursuant to the Religious Corporations Law with its principal office at 1974 Seneca Street, Buffalo, Erie County,

New York. At all relevant times, the Diocese of Buffalo created, oversaw, supervised, managed, controlled, directed and operated St. Teresa's. As a result of a corporate merger, Defendant St. Teresa's is the legal successor to Defendant St. John.

### **FACTS COMMON TO ALL CLAIMS**

9. Plaintiff and his family were parishioners of and attended St. John when Plaintiff was a minor child. Plaintiff served as a lector and altar boy at St. John and also attended the parochial elementary school affiliated with St. John.

10. During the times relevant to the allegations set forth herein, Defendant Father Orsolits was assigned by Defendant Diocese of Buffalo to serve as a priest at St. John, where Plaintiff's family were parishioners.

11. Through Father Orsolits' positions at, within, or for Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John, Father Orsolits was put in direct contact with members of the Plaintiff's family, including Plaintiff, a minor parishioner of the Diocese of Buffalo.

12. In approximately 1967 when Plaintiff was approximately thirteen years of age, Father Orsolits invited Plaintiff to go see a car race with Father Orsolits. Father Orsolits drove Plaintiff and another boy to the race. Father Orsolits provided Plaintiff with alcohol to drink.

13. Father Orsolits used this encounter, gained through his position at St. John which granted him access to Plaintiff when Plaintiff was approximately thirteen years of age, to sexually assault, sexually abuse, and/or have sexual contact with the Plaintiff in violation of the laws of the State of New York.

14. During the times relevant to the allegations set forth herein, Father William F. J. White ("Father White") was assigned by Defendant Diocese of Buffalo to serve as a priest at St. John, where Plaintiff's family were parishioners. Father White died in 2016.

15. Through Father White's positions at, within, or for Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John, Father White was put in direct contact with members of the Plaintiff's family, including Plaintiff, a minor parishioner of the Diocese of Buffalo.

16. On at least two occasions in approximately 1968 when Plaintiff was approximately fourteen years of age, Father White directed Plaintiff to go to Father White's office in the St. John rectory for a private discussion with Father White.

17. Father White used such encounters, gained through his position at St. John which granted him access to Plaintiff when Plaintiff was approximately fourteen years of age, to sexually assault, sexually abuse, and/or have sexual contact with the Plaintiff in violation of the laws of the State of New York.

**Supervisory Defendants' Responsibility for the Abuse Committed by Father Orsolits and Father White**

18. At all times material hereto, Father Orsolits and Father White were under the management, supervision, employ, direction and/or control of Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John.

19. Through their positions at, within, or for Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John, Father Orsolits and Father White were put in direct contact with Plaintiff.

20. Father Orsolits and Father White used their positions at, within, or for Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John and the implicit representations made by Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John about Father Orsolits' and Father White's characters that accompanied those positions, to gain Plaintiff's trust and confidence and to create an opportunity to be alone with, and touch, Plaintiff.

21. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John had the duty to reasonably manage, supervise, control and/or direct priests who served at St. John, and specifically, had a duty not to aid pedophiles such as Father Orsolits and Father White by assigning, maintaining, and/or appointing them to positions with access to minors.

22. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John knew and/or reasonably should have known, and/or knowingly condoned, and/or covered up, the inappropriate and unlawful sexual activities of Father Orsolits and Father White, who sexually abused Plaintiff.

23. Defendant Diocese of Buffalo had a duty to the Plaintiff to properly supervise Diocese of Buffalo priests to ensure that priests did not use their positions with the Diocese of Buffalo as a tool for grooming and assaulting vulnerable children. Defendant Diocese of Buffalo knew or should have known that Father Orsolits and Father White used their positions with the Diocese of Buffalo to sexually abuse minor children, including the Plaintiff.

#### **Consequences of the Abuse**

24. Plaintiff suffered personal physical and psychological injuries and damages as a result of Father Orsolits' and Father White's actions, as well as other damages related thereto, as a result of the childhood sexual abuse Plaintiff sustained.

25. As a direct result of the Defendants Diocese of Buffalo's and St. John's conduct described herein, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer great pain of mind and body, severe and permanent emotional distress, and physical manifestations of emotional distress. Plaintiff was prevented from obtaining the full enjoyment of life; has incurred and will continue to incur expenses for medical and psychological treatment, therapy, and counseling; and has incurred and will continue to incur loss of income and/or loss of earning capacity. As a victim of Father Orsolits' and Father White's sexual abuse, Plaintiff is unable at this time to fully describe all of the details of that abuse and the extent of the harm he suffered as a result.

#### **CAUSES OF ACTION**

##### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

###### **Assault**

26. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 25 as if fully set forth herein.

27. In approximately 1967 when Plaintiff was approximately thirteen years of age, Father Orsolits intentionally touched Plaintiff's body when Father Orsolits engaged in the sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct described above. Such conduct

placed Plaintiff in imminent apprehension of harmful contact, including apprehension of further sexual contact.

28. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Father Orsolits' actions, which included but were not limited to placing the Plaintiff in imminent and reasonable apprehension of harmful and offensive contact, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer the severe injuries and damages described herein, including but not limited to, mental and emotional distress.

29. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant Father Orsolits is liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

#### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **Battery**

30. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 25 as if fully set forth herein.

31. In approximately 1967 when Plaintiff was approximately thirteen years of age, Father Orsolits intentionally touched Plaintiff's body when Father Orsolits engaged in the sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct described above. Such bodily contact was offensive and without consent, because Plaintiff, as a minor, was incapable of consenting to these acts.

32. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Father Orsolits' actions, which included but were not limited to unjustified harmful and offensive physical contact and touching, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer the severe injuries and damages described herein, including but not limited to, mental and emotional distress.

33. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant Father Orsolits is liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

#### **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress**

34. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in

paragraphs 1 through 25 as if fully set forth herein.

35. By engaging in the explicit sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct described above, either Defendant Father Orsolits intended to inflict emotional distress upon Plaintiff, or Defendant Father Orsolits knew or should have known and recklessly disregarded the substantial likelihood that severe emotional distress would be the likely result of his conduct.

36. The conduct of Defendant Father Orsolits in engaging in the explicit sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct with a minor described above is extreme and outrageous, beyond all possible bounds of decency, and utterly intolerable in a civilized society.

37. The mental distress and emotional injuries Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer were and are lasting and severe.

38. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant Father Orsolits engaging in the explicit sexual behavior and lewd and lascivious conduct described above, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer the severe injuries described herein.

39. By reason of the foregoing, Defendant Father Orsolits is liable to Plaintiff for compensatory damages and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

#### **FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **Negligent Hiring/Retention/Supervision/Direction**

40. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 25 as if fully set forth herein.

41. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John owed a duty of care to all minor persons, including Plaintiff, who were likely to come within the influence or supervision of Father Orsolits and Father White in their roles as priest, counselor, trustee, director, officer, employee, agent, servant and/or volunteer, to insure that Father Orsolits and Father White did not use their assigned positions to injure minors by sexual assault, sexual abuse, or sexual contact in violation of the laws of the State of New York.

42. Defendant Diocese of Buffalo at all relevant times held the parishes and schools of the Diocese of Buffalo out to be safe places for minors to attend, and its priests as individuals to whom it was safe to entrust the care of minor children. Defendant Diocese of Buffalo entered into an express and/or implied duty to safely treat Plaintiff and assumed the duty to protect and care for him.

43. Father Orsolits and Father White sexually assaulted, sexually abused, and/or had sexual contact with Plaintiff when Plaintiff was a minor in New York.

44. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John negligently hired, retained, directed, and supervised Father Orsolits and Father White, though they knew or should have known that Father Orsolits and Father White posed a threat of sexual abuse to minors.

45. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John knew or should have known of Father Orsolits' and Father White's propensities for the conduct which caused Plaintiff's injuries prior to, or at the time of, the injuries' occurrence.

46. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John were negligent in failing to properly supervise Father Orsolits and Father White.

47. The sexual abuse of children by adults, including priests, is a foreseeable result of negligence.

48. At all times material hereto, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo's and St. John's actions were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, negligent and/or outrageous in their disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.

49. As a direct and proximate result, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the injuries described herein.

50. Liabilities of St. John were transferred to, or assumed by, St. Teresa's. As a result, St. Teresa's is liable to the Plaintiff for the damages caused by St. John stated in this cause of action.

51. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo, St. John, and St.

Teresa's are liable to the Plaintiff, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative liable to the Plaintiff for compensatory damages and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

#### **FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **Negligence/Gross Negligence**

52. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 25 as if fully set forth herein.

53. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John knew, or were negligent in not knowing, that Father Orsolits and Father White posed a threat of sexual abuse to children.

54. The acts of Father Orsolits and Father White described hereinabove were undertaken, and/or enabled by, and/or during the course, and/or within the scope of their respective employment, appointment, assignment, and/or agency with Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John.

55. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John owed Plaintiff, a minor at the relevant times of abuse, a duty to protect him from Father Orsolits' and Father White's sexual deviancy and the consequential damages, both prior to and/or subsequent to Father Orsolits' and Father White's misconduct.

56. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo's and St. John's willful, wanton, grossly negligent and/or negligent act(s) of commission and/or omission, resulted directly and/or proximately in the damage set forth herein at length.

57. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John:

- a. gave improper or ambiguous orders or failed to make proper regulations, and/or employed improper persons or instrumentalities in work involving risk of harm to others;
- b. failed adequately to supervise the activities of Father Orsolits and Father White;
- c. permitted, and/or intentionally failed and/or neglected to prevent,

negligent and/or grossly negligent conduct and/or allowed other tortious conduct by persons, whether or not their servants and/or agents and/or employees, upon premises or with instrumentalities under their control; and

- d. allowed the acts of omission and/or commission and/or any or all of the allegations set forth in this Complaint to occur.

58. At all times material hereto, with regard to the allegations contained herein, Father Orsolits and Father White were under the supervision, employ, direction and/or control of Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John.

59. At all times material hereto, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo's and St. John's actions were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, negligent and outrageous in their disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff, which amounted to conduct equivalent to criminality.

60. As a direct and/or indirect result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the injuries and damages described herein.

61. Liabilities of St. John were transferred to, or assumed by, St. Teresa's. As a result, St. Teresa's is liable to the Plaintiff for the damages caused by St. John stated in this cause of action.

62. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo, St. John, and St. Teresa's are liable to the Plaintiff, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative liable to the Plaintiff for compensatory damages and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

#### **SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **Breach of Non-Delegable Duty**

63. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 25 as if fully set forth herein.

64. Plaintiff, when he was a minor, was placed in the care and supervision of

the Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John for the purposes of, *inter alia*, providing Plaintiff with a safe environment in which to participate in religious, educational, youth and recreational activities. There existed a non-delegable duty of trust between Plaintiff and Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John.

65. Plaintiff was a vulnerable child when placed within the care of Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John.

66. As a consequence, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John were in the best position to prevent Plaintiff's abuse, to learn of Father Orsolits' and Father White's sexual abuse of Plaintiff, and to stop it.

67. By virtue of the fact that Plaintiff was sexually abused as a minor child entrusted to the care of the Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John breached their non-delegable duty to Plaintiff.

68. At all times material hereto Father Orsolits and Father White were under the supervision, employ, direction and/or control of the Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John.

69. As a direct result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the injuries and damages described herein.

70. Liabilities of St. John were transferred to, or assumed by, St. Teresa's. As a result, St. Teresa's is liable to the Plaintiff for the damages caused by St. John stated in this cause of action.

71. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo, St. John, and St. Teresa's are liable to the Plaintiff, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative liable to the Plaintiff for compensatory damages and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

**SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION**  
**Breach of Fiduciary Duty**

72. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in

paragraphs 1 through 25 as if fully set forth herein.

73. There exists a fiduciary relationship of trust, confidence, and reliance between Plaintiff and Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John. This relationship is based on the entrustment of the Plaintiff while he was a minor child to the care and supervision of the agents or servants of the Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John. This entrustment of the Plaintiff to the care and supervision of the Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John, while the Plaintiff was a minor child, required the Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John to assume a fiduciary relationship and to act in the best interests of the Plaintiff, as well as to protect him while he was a minor and vulnerable child.

74. Pursuant to their fiduciary relationship, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John were entrusted with the well-being, care, and safety of Plaintiff.

75. Pursuant to their fiduciary relationship, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John assumed a duty to act in the best interests of Plaintiff.

76. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John breached their fiduciary duty to Plaintiff.

77. At all times material hereto, the actions and/or inactions of Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, negligent and outrageous in their disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.

78. As a direct result of said conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the injuries and damages described herein.

79. Liabilities of St. John were transferred to, or assumed by, St. Teresa's. As a result, St. Teresa's is liable to the Plaintiff for the damages caused by St. John stated in this cause of action.

80. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo, St. John, and St. Teresa's are liable to the Plaintiff, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative liable to the Plaintiff for compensatory damages and for punitive damages, together with interest and

costs.

#### **EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress**

81. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 25 as if fully set forth herein.

82. As described above, the actions of Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John, their agents, servants, and/or employees were conducted in a negligent and/or grossly negligent manner.

83. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo's and St. John's actions endangered Plaintiff's safety and caused him to fear for his own safety.

84. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants Diocese of Buffalo's and St. John's actions, which included but were not limited to negligent and/or grossly negligent conduct, Plaintiff suffered and will continue to suffer the severe injuries and damages described herein, including but not limited to, mental and emotional distress.

85. Liabilities of St. John were transferred to, or assumed by, St. Teresa's. As a result, St. Teresa's is liable to the Plaintiff for the damages caused by St. John stated in this cause of action.

86. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo, St. John, and St. Teresa's are liable to the Plaintiff, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative liable to the Plaintiff for compensatory damages and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

#### **NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

##### **Breach of Duty *in Loco Parentis***

87. Plaintiff repeats and re-alleges each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs 1 through 25 as if fully set forth herein.

88. While he was a minor, Plaintiff was entrusted by his parents to the control of the Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John, as well as directly to Father Orsolits

and Father White, agents or servants of Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John, for the purposes of *inter alia*, providing Plaintiff with appropriate guidance and an opportunity to enjoy educational and youth activities under responsible adult supervision. These Defendants owe — and owed — a duty to children entrusted to them to act in loco parentis and to prevent foreseeable injuries.

89. Defendants Diocese of Buffalo and St. John breached their duty to act *in loco parentis*.

90. At all times material hereto, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo's and St. John's actions were willful, wanton, malicious, reckless, negligent, grossly negligent and/or outrageous in their disregard for the rights and safety of Plaintiff.

91. As a direct result of Defendants Diocese of Buffalo's and St. John's conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the injuries and damages described herein.

92. Liabilities of St. John were transferred to, or assumed by, St. Teresa's. As a result, St. Teresa's is liable to the Plaintiff for the damages caused by St. John stated in this cause of action.

93. By reason of the foregoing, Defendants Diocese of Buffalo, St. John, and St. Teresa's are liable to the Plaintiff, jointly, severally and/or in the alternative liable to the Plaintiff for compensatory damages and for punitive damages, together with interest and costs.

WHEREFORE Plaintiff, demands judgment against the Defendants on each cause of action as follows:

- A. Awarding compensatory damages in an amount to be proved at trial, but in any event in an amount that exceeds the jurisdictional limits of all lower courts which would otherwise have jurisdiction;
- B. Awarding punitive damages to the extent permitted by law;
- C. Awarding prejudgment interest to the extent permitted by law;

- D. Awarding costs and fees of this action, including attorneys' fees, to the extent permitted by law; and
- E. Awarding such other and further relief as to this Court may seem just and proper.

### JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

Dated: September 20, 2019  
New York, New York

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Paul J. Hanly, Jr.  
Paul J. Hanly, Jr.  
[phanly@simmonsfirm.com](mailto:phanly@simmonsfirm.com)  
Jayne Conroy  
[jconroy@simmonsfirm.com](mailto:jconroy@simmonsfirm.com)  
Andrea Bierstein  
[abierstein@simmonsfirm.com](mailto:abierstein@simmonsfirm.com)  
SIMMONS HANLY CONROY LLC  
112 Madison Avenue  
New York, NY 10016  
(212) 784-6401 Telephone  
(212) 213-5949 Facsimile

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Of counsel:  
Mitchell Garabedian  
[mgarabedian@garabedianlaw.com](mailto:mgarabedian@garabedianlaw.com)  
William H. Gordon  
[wgordon@garabedianlaw.com](mailto:wgordon@garabedianlaw.com)  
LAW OFFICES OF MITCHELL GARABEDIAN  
100 State Street, 6th Floor  
Boston, MA 02109  
Phone: (617) 523-6250